

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/520,824 01/07/2005		Hirohisa Tanaka	71465.00008	2715		
57362 75	90 05/10/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER		
AKERMAN S	SENTERFITT VANIA AVENUE N.W.	NGUYEN	NGUYEN, CAM N			
SUITE 600 WASHINGTON, DC 20004			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			1754	1754		
			DATE MAILED: 05/10/200	DATE MAILED: 05/10/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

h	/
\sim	

		Application N	o.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/520,824		TANAKA ET AL.			
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		Cam N. Nguye	en	1754			
Period fo	- The MAILING DATE of this communication app r Reply						
WHIC - Exten after 5 - If NO - Failur	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v e to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS (36(a). In no event, h will apply and will exp	owever, may a reply be tin irre SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02/2	7/06 (an amen	<u>dment/response)</u> .				
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayl	e, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consi	deration.				
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
• —	Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requ	nrement.				
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acc	cepted or b)	objected to by the	Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be h	neld in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).	DED 4 404/d)		
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ction is required	if the drawing(s) is of	ojected to. See 37 to o Action or form F	DTC-152		
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note	the attached Office	S ACTION OF TORM P	10-102.		
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119				•		
12)⊠	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ⊠ All b) Some * c) None of:	n priority unde	r 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).			
a)	1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documen	nts have been i	eceived.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been	eceived in Applica	tion No			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the price	ority document	s have been receiv	ed in this Nation	al Stage		
	application from the International Burea	au (PCT Rule 1	17.2(a)).				
*	See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	st of the certifie	d copies not receive	ved.			
Attachme			. 🗀	(070,440)			
1) Not	ice of References Cited (PTO-892) ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail		. %		
3) 🔯 Info	promation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0) per No(s)/Mail Date 3/31/06 & 3/09/06.	0)	Notice of Information Other:	Patent Application (F	PTO-152)		

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Applicants' amendment and remarks, filed February 27, 2006, has been made of record and entered. Claims 1 & 5 have been amended.

Claims 1-5 are currently pending and under consideration.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102(e)

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kaneko et al., "hereinafter Kaneko", (US Pat. 6,800,388 B2).

Kaneko discloses a catalyst composition, which comprises: a perovskite composite oxide having the formula ABO₃ and the formula A'_{1-x}A"_xB'_{1-y}B"_yO₃, wherein A' is La, Ce or both, A' is at least one element selected from the group consisting of La, Ca, Sm, Ce, Sr, Ba and Pr, B' is at least one element selected from the group consisting of Co, Fe, Mn and Gd, and B" is at least one element of noble metals, etc. (see col. 18, claim 1). See also Table 3 in col. 15 & 16.

Application/Control Number: 10/520,824

Art Unit: 1754

There is no patentable distinction seen between the claimed catalyst and that disclosed by Kaneko, thus the claims are anticipated.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102(b)

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Monceux et al., "hereinafter Monceux", (US Pat. 5,62,680).

Monceux discloses a catalyst containing an active phase of the perovskite-type structure having the general formula: $L_xL'_{1-x}M_yM'_z\Phi_{1-y-z}O_3$, wherein L is an element selected from the lanthanides and the rare earth metals, L' is an element selected from Sr, Ca, Ba, Ce, K, Bi, Rb and Na, M is a transition metal selected from Cr, Mn, Fe, Co, Ni and Cu, M' is at least one metal selected from Pt, Ru, Pd, Rh, etc. (see col. 1, In 40-57). See also Table II in col. 4, catalyst 9.

There is no patentable distinction seen between the claimed catalyst and that disclosed by Monceux, thus the claims are anticipated.

Response to Applicants' Arguments

6. Applicants' response, filed on February 27, 2006, to the office action dated 10/25/06 has been considered, but not deemed persuasive for the following reasons.

Application/Control Number: 10/520,824

Art Unit: 1754

First, applicants urged, that "the catalyst composition of Kaneko, having a formula... which is directed to give different distortions in the perovskite structure than the claimed formula..." (applicants' response on page 7, 1st paragraph). It is considered the disclosed catalyst composition having the same perovskite structure as being claimed since the metals are the same or the claimed metals are falling within the disclosed list of metals. The disclosed catalyst structure also contains the metals with valence of 3. While the Kaneko reference might not exemplified all of the listed metals in the examples of the reference, it is considered other metals that are not shown in the examples that are listed in the reference are also suitable and within the scope of the disclosed invention.

In response to applicants' argument regarding the statement on "picking and choosing the particular elements to achieve the particular purpose of the present invention" (applicants' response on page 8, 1st and 2nd paragraphs), in view of *In re Schaumann*, 572 F.2d 312, 197 USPQ 5 (CCPA 1978), the claim is anticipated when the reference teaches a small genus which places a claimed species in the possession of the public and the species would have been obvious even if the genus were not sufficiently small to justify a rejection under 35 USC 102. See also MPEP 2131.02 and 2144.08. Since the metals with the valence of 3 are among the suitable metals listed in the reference, one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would at once envisage the metals with valence of 3 within the listed metals of the reference.

With respect to applicants' arguments regarding the Monceux reference, it is considered Monceux teaches a catalyst composition having the same structure as being

Application/Control Number: 10/520,824

Art Unit: 1754

claimed since the metals are the same or the claimed metals are falling within the disclosed list of metals. The noble metal or Pt metal is contained in both catalyst compositions of the Kaneko and Monceux references.

7. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Conclusion

- 8. Claims 1-5 are pending. Claims 1-5 are rejected. No claims are allowed.
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Primary Examiner Cam N Nguyen, whose telephone number is 571-272-1357. The examiner can normally be reached on M, W, R, & F, 9:00 AM 6:30 PM.

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 10/520,824

Art Unit: 1754

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stanley Silverman, can be reached on 571-272-1358. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

PRIMARY

Nguyen/cnn ੴ√√ May 05, 2006

Art Unit - 1754